

Contents

1. Introduction.....	1
1.1 Background.....	1
1.2 Objective.....	2
1.3 Scope.....	2
1.4 Storage tank inspection options.....	2
1.5 Inspection planning and analysis levels.....	3
1.6 Overview of application of this guidance.....	4
1.7 Definitions.....	4
1.8 Nomenclature.....	7
2. Statistical methods in support of partial inspection	8
2.1 Partial coverage inspection.....	8
2.2 Corrosion characteristics.....	8
2.3 Key concepts	9
2.3.1 Use of quantitative data for inferring the characteristics of a population based on sample measurement	9
2.3.2 Statistics of binary outcomes.....	10
3. Corrosion risk assessment (CRA) in support of inspection planning	11
3.1 Background.....	11
3.2 Expected severity of corrosion and aims of the inspection.....	11
3.3 Internal and external corrosion depths.....	11
3.4 Spatial characteristics of corrosion	12
4. Cases where detectable wall loss is not expected (Type A).....	17
4.1 Introduction.....	17
4.2 Determination of appropriate coverage	17
4.3 Distribution of coverage	25
4.4 Evaluation on completion of the inspection.....	25
5. Cases where detectable wall loss is expected (Type B)	26
5.1 Introduction.....	26
5.2 Extreme value analysis overview.....	27
5.2.1 Extreme value distribution and types	27
5.3 Summary of the analysis process.....	29
5.3.1 Selection of data for use in the analysis.....	29
5.3.2 Identification of the appropriate distribution type	33

5.3.3	Determination of the parameters for the distribution	39
5.3.4	Estimates for the uninspected area	41
5.3.5	Estimates for corrosion rates	46
5.4	Defining the required inspection coverage.....	47
5.5	Distribution of coverage	52
6.	Cases where wall loss may be severe but isolated	53
7.	Summary of analysis level	54
7.1	Introduction	54
7.2	Type A inspections	54
7.2.1	Level 1	54
7.2.2	Level 2	56
7.3	Type B inspections	57
7.3.1	Level 1	57
7.3.2	Level 2	59
7.4	The Level 3 approach	61
7.5	Reporting requirements.....	62
Appendix A –	Inspection report.....	63
A.1	Type A inspection strategies	63
A.2	Type B inspections	63
Appendix B -	Examples of Level 1 and Level 2 application.....	65
B.1	Example 1: Level 1 Planning for Type A inspection	65
B.2	Example 2: Level 2 Planning for Type A inspection	67
B.3	Example 3: Level 1 Planning for Type B inspection	68
B.4	Example 4: Level 1 Evaluation for Type B inspection.....	70
B.5	Example 5: Level 2 Evaluation for Type B inspection.....	74
Appendix C -	Summary of statistical distributions.....	79
C.1	Type 1 (Gumbel) Distributions	79
C.2	Generalised Extreme Value Distributions	79
Appendix D -	Ultrasonic wall thickness measurement	80
D.1	Background	80
D.2	Probability of detection.....	80
D.3	Measurement accuracy	82
D.4	Qualification of inspection	82
D.5	Developments to improve inspection performance.....	83
D.5.1	Phased array systems.....	83
D.5.2	Ultrasonic system on-board the robot	83
D.5.3	Signal processing enhancements	84
References.....		85
EEMUA Publication: Feedback Form		87

Figures

Figure 3-1: Illustration of different corrosion densities (low on left and high on right) 14

Figure 3-2: Regions of different size having different local densities..... 14

Figure 3-3: Homogeneous conditions on left, non-homogeneous conditions on right 15

Figure 4-1: Coverage required for $d^*=0.1$ 21

Figure 4-2: Coverage required for $d^*=0.15$ 21

Figure 4-3: Coverage required for $d^*=0.2$ 22

Figure 4-4: Coverage required for $d^*=0.25$ 22

Figure 4-5: Coverage required for $d^*=0.3$ 23

Figure 5-1: Behaviour of the three different extreme value distribution types 28

Figure 5-2: Illustration of data from a normal distribution shown in a normal probability plot 31

Figure 5-3: Normal probability plot showing the transition between scans with and without corrosion 32

Figure 5-4: Thickness data shown on a Type 1 probability plot..... 33

Figure 5-5: Type 1 probability plot for data from scans classified as having corrosion present 34

Figure 5-6: Probability plot for data which conforms reasonably to a Type 1 distribution..... 36

Figure 5-7: Probability plot for data which is lighter tailed than Type 1 36

Figure 5-8: Probability plot for data which is lighter tailed than Type 1 37

Figure 5-9: Probability plot for data appears substantially lighter tailed than Type 1 37

Figure 5-10: Probability plot for data where the tail appears heavier than Type 1 38

Figure 5-11: Probability plot for data where the tail appears substantially heavier than Type 1 38

Figure 5-12: Probability plot where there is a substantial deviation in the tail behaviour 39

Figure 5-13: Standard deviation of the parameters vs sample size..... 41

Figure 5-14: Type 1 probability plot for the example data 44

Figure 5-15: Plot showing the fitted distribution fitted and the data..... 45

Figure 5-16: Plot showing the distributions for a single region and for the overall area of interest 46

Figure 5-17: Minimum coverage required for $d_{max}=0.375$, $d^*=0.125$ at different densities..... 50

Figure 5-18: Effect of increasing d^* on minimum coverage required for a density of 0.1 51

Figure 5-19: Effect of increasing d^* on minimum coverage required for a density of 0.2 51

Figure B-1: Type 1 probability plot based on the thickness data 71

Figure B-2: Graphical presentation of results for Example 4..... 73

Figure B-3: Type 1 probability plot based on the thickness data 75

Figure B-4: Distributions for maxima and minima for comparison 76

Figure B-5: Graphical presentation of results for Example 5..... 77

Tables

Table 4-1: Coverage required (in percent) for different values of detection threshold.....	24
Table 5-1: Table of minimum thickness values (mm).....	44
Table B-1: Data for Example 1	65
Table B-2: Data for Example 3	68
Table B-3: Reported minimum thicknesses (mm) for the scans classified as containing corrosion.....	70
Table B-4: Reported minimum thicknesses (mm) for the scans classified as containing corrosion.....	74